## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA

ALEXIS WAGNER,

CASE NO. 3:23-cv-05983-DGE

v.

MINUTE ORDER

HASELWOOD MOTOR COMPANY et al..

Defendant.

Plaintiff,

The following Minute Order is made by direction of the Court, United States District Judge David G. Estudillo:

The parties are directed to file a motion to accompany their Proposed Order re Joint Agreement Regarding Discovery of Electronically Stored Information. (Dkt. No. 22.) For the Court to sign a proposed order, counsel must comply with LCR 7(b)-(d), filing a motion and assigning a noting date. Further, the parties are directed to submit a redline version (as a Word

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The proposed order filed on July 25, 2024 was not noted as a motion. The Court only became aware of the proposed order in reviewing Defendant's Amended Motion for Extension of Time

1	document, via email) of the Model Protocol for Discovery of Electronically Stored Information,
2	illustrating how their Proposed Order differs from the Model. See LCR 26(f)-(j).
3	Dated this 10th day of September 2024.
4	The foregoing Minute Order authorized by THE HONORABLE DAVID G.
5	ESTUDILLO, UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE.
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	Regarding Expert Witness Disclosure Deadline (Dkt. No. 28 at 2), which references the Parties'
24	July 25, 2024 proposed order.

MINUTE ORDER - 2